

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,074	06/05/2001	Denwood F. Ross III	VTN-423	3421	
23377	7590 11/10/2003		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR			HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE		
1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878	· _	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i i	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W.			
Advisory Action	09/819,074	ROSS ET AL.				
, and y notice.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Constantine Hannaher	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 31 July 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	— A.				
10. Other:		Constantine Ha Primary Exar				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as pointed out in the advisory action mailed 18 September 2003, the declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 by Timothy P. Newton addresses only the issue of reduction to practice (paragraph 14 of the final rejection) but is silent on the issue of fluorescence (paragraph 13 of the final rejection) but the balance of the reply has been located and entered in the file. Requests for deferral are inappropriate after final rejection.